

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,601		11/24/2003	Erin Lynn Boynton	1676-4/AMK	1032	
38735	7590	07/07/2005		EXAMINER		
DIMOCK S			FOSTER, CHRISTINE E			
20 QUEEN STREET WEST SUITE 3202, BOX 102 TORONTO, ON M5H 3R3				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA				1641		
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/718,601	BOYNTON, ERI	N LYNN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christine Foster	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address
: ad for Ponly			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) N	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered til MONTHS from the mailing date of thi	mely. s communication.
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 L	<u>December 2004</u> .		
	s action is non-tinal.		Alan magnita in
and a second transfer and the condition for allows	ance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to	me ments is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) \(\size \) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n. awn from consideration		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awii nom oonoigorador		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
8)   Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to rection of a	·		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner. ccepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted of b) objecte	nevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(	a).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	ne drawing(s) be need in a	wing(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner Note the atta	ached Office Action or for	m PTO-152.
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	LAGITHIEF. 14010 the atte	· - · · <del>-</del> · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
. Condition applies of the priority docume	ents have been received	d.	
= us I said of the priority docume	ents have been received	g in Application No	_· ional Stage
2 Copies of the certified copies of the p	oriority documents have	been received in this real	ioriai otago
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	) <b>.</b>	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copie	S HUL TECEIVEU.	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, <sub>Do</sub> ,	erview Summary (PTO-413)	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Pa <sub>l</sub>	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Applicatio	on (PTÓ-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/718,601

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a method for assessing a patient for predisposition to total joint replacement failure, classified in class 436, subclass 532.
  - II. Claims 10-12, drawn to a kit for performing an assay, classified in class422, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the test kit of Group II may be used to practice a method other than that of Group I, for example, in a method of measuring cytokine levels in a tissue culture system.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and as shown by their different classification, and the searches required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,601

Art Unit: 1641

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations

Application/Control Number: 10/718,601

Art Unit: 1641

of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Adrian Kaplan on June 30, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Foster whose telephone number is (571) 272-8786. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached at (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/718,601

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C60402

Christine Foster, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

07/05/09